

GRADING THE TEACHERS' UNION CONTRACTS

See the evaluation methodology at

			LEVY SPENDING PRIORITIES														
2013-14 Sc	chool Y	′ear	W	AGE ENHANC	ED		LEVY P	RIORITY		PE	R STUDE	NT		BENEFITS		LE.	AVE
				Item #1	Score of possible 3			Item #2	Score of possible 7		Item #3	Score of possible 3		Item #4	Score of possible 3	Item #5	Score of possible 4
District	41-48 A 33-40 B 24-32 C 16-23 D 1-15 F	Overall grade	Average State- provided Salary (Statewide average \$52,996)	How much of a raise above the state salary is the unaccountable, levy-funded wage enhancement?	0=30%+ 1=20%+ 2=10%+ 3=under 10%	What portion of the district revenue came from local funds in 12-13?	Total cost of the wage enhancement	How much of the levy would it take to pay for the raise?	0=40%+ 1=35%+ 2=30%+ 3=25%+ 4=20%+ 5=15%+ 6=10%+ 7=under 10%	How many "Full Time Equivalent" students?	How much per student is used for raises?	0=\$900+ 1=600+ 2=300 3=under 300	Average State- provided benefits (average \$9,524)	How much is the levy- funded benefit enhance- ment?	0=1,200+ 1=600+ 2=1+ 3=0	How many days of personal leave?	Combination of the number of days and cashout rate (per diem, substitute or none)
Vancouver	34	В	\$52,231	7%	3	20%	\$4,501,018	9%	7	22,072	\$315	2	\$9,475	None	3	2	3
Wenatchee	32	C+	\$53,436	6%	3	15%	\$1,284,381	9%	7	7,656	\$235	3	\$9,209	None	3	2	3
Central Valley	32	C+	\$54,266	6%	3	20%	\$2,316,845	8%	7	12,298	\$219	3	\$4,465	?	3	3	1
Sunnyside	31	C+	\$50,416	3%	3	3%	\$496,233	5%	7	6,433	\$196	3	\$9,217	None	3	3	1
Olympia	31	C+	\$55,294	5%	3	24%	\$1,310,053	6%	7	8,798	\$232	3	\$9,201	None	3	2	2
Spokane	31	C+	\$54,147	6%	3	21%	\$5,709,332	8%	7	28,684	\$331	2	\$9,709	None	3	2	1
Yakima	31	C+	\$52,793	9%	3	8%	\$3,724,339	14%	6	15,557	\$329	2	\$10,216	\$962	1	2	2
Peninsula	31	C+	\$55,091	11%	2	24%	\$2,545,553	12%	6	8,351	\$374	2	\$8,776	None	3	3	3
Clover Park	31	<u>C+</u>	\$50,930	12%	2	16%	\$4,221,810	16%	5	11,853	\$553	2	\$9,113	None	3	2	2
Franklin Pierce	30	<u>C</u>	\$49,549	12%	2	19%	\$2,359,055	13%	6	7,331	\$476	2	\$9,326	None	3	2	1
Richland	29	C	\$53,258	7%	3	19%	\$2,038,045	9%	7	11,165	\$256	3	\$14,970	?	3	3	1
Tahoma	29	C	\$54,297	11%	2	22%	\$2,137,016	12%	6	7,289	\$391	2	\$9,245	None	3	7	3
Kent	29	С	\$51,658	18%	2	23%	\$12,712,353	20%	4	26,127	\$630	1	\$11,408	None	3	3	1
Battle Ground	28	С	\$53,260	7%	3	19%	\$2,450,688	9%	7	12,273	\$251	3	\$9,208	None	3	3	1
North Thurston	28	С	\$53,202	11%	2	23%	\$4,491,862	14%	6	13,990	\$449	2	\$9,608	None	3	2	2
Federal Way	28	С	\$50,701	12%	2	21%	\$7,545,440	15%	5	21,117	\$461	2	\$8,874	\$708	1	0	4
Renton	28	С	\$50,039	13%	2	24%	\$5,047,009	15%	5	14,356	\$584	2	\$9,344	None	3	3	1
Mead	27	С	\$55,773	7%	3	20%	\$1,873,348	8%	7	9,066	\$244	3	\$9,280	\$600	1	5	0
South Kitsap	27	С	\$55,247	9%	3	21%	\$2,180,446	10%	6	8,889	\$303	2	\$8,805	None	3	2	2
Moses Lake	27	С	\$53,782	9%	3	17%	\$1,913,203	11%	6	7,813	\$363	2	\$10,198	\$876	1	2	2
Kennewick	26	С	\$53,103	7%	3	14%	\$3,068,530	10%	7	16,559	\$328	2	\$9,710	\$469	2	3	0
Longview	26	С	\$52,742	9%	3	23%	\$1,646,706	10%	6	6,421	\$311	2	\$9,276	\$0	3	3	1
Bellevue	26	С	\$50,464	20%	1	25%	\$9,834,120	22%	4	17,966	\$956	0	\$9,598	\$1,021	1	3	2
Tacoma	26	С	\$52,281	21%	1	26%	\$17,067,500	19%	5	27,866	\$747	1	\$9,163	None	3	2	3



			LEVY SPENDING PRIORITIES LEVY SPENDING PRIORITIES														
2013-14 Sc	hool \	Year	W	AGE ENHANC	ED		LEVY P	RIORITY		PE	R STUDE	NT		BENEFITS		LE	AVE
				Item #1	Score of possible 3			Item #2	Score of possible 7		Item #3	Score of possible 3		Item #4	Score of possible 3	Item #5	Score of possible 4
District	41-48 A 33-40 B 24-32 C 16-23 D 1-15 F	Overall grade	Average State- provided Salary (Statewide average \$52,996)	How much of a raise above the state salary is the unaccountable, levy-funded wage enhancement?	0=30%+ 1=20%+ 2=10%+ 3=under 10%	What portion of the district revenue came from local funds in 12-13?		How much of the levy would it take to pay for the raise?	0=40%+ 1=35%+ 2=30%+ 3=25%+ 4=20%+ 5=15%+ 6=10%+ 7=under 10%	How many "Full Time Equivalent" students?	How much per student is used for raises?	0=\$900+ 1=600+ 2=300 3=under 300	Average State- provided benefits (average \$9,524)	How much is the levy- funded benefit enhance- ment?	0=1,200+ 1=600+ 2=1+ 3=0	How many days of personal leave?	Combination of the number of days and cashout rate (per diem, substitute or none)
Pasco	25	<u>C-</u>	\$50,353	8%	3	13%	\$3,294,823	10%	6	15,968	\$300	2	\$9,882	\$752	1	3	0
Bethel	25	C-	\$52,644	14%	2	19%	\$6,440,488	17%	5	17,483	\$419	2	\$9,804	\$423	2	1	1
Evergreen (Clark)	25	<u>C-</u>	\$52,797	15%	2	17%	\$11,289,197	20%	4	26,060	\$534	2	\$9,256	None	3	4	1
Bellingham	25	C-	\$53,851	21%	1	26%	\$6,212,762	23%	4	10,394	\$689	1	\$9,676	\$360	2	2	3
Central Kitsap	24	<u>C-</u>	\$55,301	8%	3	18%	\$2,640,379	10%	6	10,368	\$351	2	\$9,748	\$8	2	4	1
Puyallup	24	<u>C-</u>	\$55,850	10%	2	23%	\$5,604,694	11%	6	20,631	\$352	2	\$6,858	None	3	4	0
Highline	24	C-	\$49,943	15%	2	23%	\$7,326,318	17%	5	18,388	\$500	2	\$8,588	\$247	2	4	3
Shoreline	24	C-	\$53,245	17%	2	26%	\$4,033,584	18%	5	8,461	\$616	1	\$9,655	\$348	2	3	1
Lake Washington	23	D+	\$50,316	14%	2	23%	\$9,337,333	18%	5	24,872	\$607	1	\$10,253	None	3	3	1
Issaquah	23	D+	\$50,655	14%	2	22%	\$6,465,772	18%	5	17,462	\$495	2	\$9,304	None	3	2	2
Northshore	23	D+	\$55,559	19%	2	24%	\$10,512,789	23%	4	19,276	\$709	1	\$9,621	None	3	2	2
Sumner	22	D+	\$53,388	14%	2	22%	\$3,130,247	16%	5	8,188	\$428	2	\$9,309	None	3	3	1
Edmonds	22	D+	\$53,658	17%	2	24%	\$9,225,576	20%	5	19,268	\$584	2	\$10,283	\$548	2	4	1
Seattle	22	D+	\$51,135	25%	1	28%	\$36,199,944	25%	3	48,586	\$935	0	\$9,850	None	3	2	3
Auburn	17	D-	\$53,509	19%	2	22%	\$7,973,338	23%	4	14,509	\$666	1	\$8,002	\$839	1	2	1
Marysville	14	F	\$55,643	29%	1	20%	\$8,949,439	35%	2	10,764	\$927	0	\$10,103	\$900	1	2	2
Lake Stevens	14	F	\$53,057	29%	1	18%	\$5,749,485	37%	1	7,738	\$799	1	\$10,503	\$480	2	3	1
Monroe	12	F	\$52,909	32%	0	23%	\$5,093,682	31%	2	6,711	\$781	1	\$9,485	\$240	2	4	1
Snohomish	12	F	\$53,974	32%	0	22%	\$7,592,835	34%	2	9,562	\$831	1	\$10,357	\$1,140	1	2	2
Mukilteo	12	F	\$54,159	36%	0	25%	\$14,855,386	43%	0	14,607	\$1,086	0	\$10,123	\$922	1	2	2
Everett	12	F	\$57,363	37%	0	23%	\$19,097,031	44%	0	18,058	\$1,117	0	\$9,203	\$360	2	3	1



				FINAN	CIAL RES	PONSIBI	LITY		STUDENT-FOCUSED CALENDAR									
2013-14 Sc	chool \	Year	EXPECT	ATIONS	ESC	ALATING W	/AGE/BENI	EFITS	SHORT	YEAR	SHORT	DAYS	EMP	LOYEE W	ORK	SUBSTI	TUTES	
			Item #6	Score of possible 3	Item #7	Score of possible 2	Item #8	Score of possible 1	Item #9	Score of possible 2	Item #10	Score of possible 2		Item #11	Score of possible 3	Item #12	Score of possible 2	
District	41-48 A 33-40 B 24-32 C 16-23 D 1-15 F	Overall grade	What portion of any extra wage is unaccount- able?	0=90%+ 1=70%+ 2=50%+ 3=under 50%	Does the extra wage increase each year?	The extra wage: 0=escalates 1=stays same 2=requires increased services	Does the extra health benefit increase each year?	The extra health benefits: 0=escalates 1=stays same	Sought to shorten the student year by how many days?	0=3+ days 1=1or2 days 2=0 days	How many days are shortened by more than 1 hr? (est.)	Partial school days while enhancing wage: 0=36+ 1=18+ 2=17 or less	Hours per elementary teacher work day	How many days do teachers show up to work? (est.)	Teacher Workdays: 0=181, 1=182, 2=183 184, 3=185	How many days of personal leave?	0=4+ 1=2 to 3 2=0 to 1 or if from sick	
Vancouver	34	В	66%		Υ	0	N	1	0	2	5	2	7.5	182	1	2	2	
Wenatchee	32	C+	71%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	8	2	7.5	182	1	2	1	
Central Valley	32	C+	85%	1	N	1	N	1	0	2	13	2	7.5	181	1	3	1	
Sunnyside	31	C+	42%	3	N	1	N	1	7	0	?	2	7.5	182	1	3	1	
Olympia	31	C+	62%	2	N	1	N	1	3	0	12	2	7.4	181	1	2	1	
Spokane	31	C+	59%	2	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	10	2	6.5	182	1	2	1	
Yakima	31	C+	74%	1	Υ	2	N	1	0	2	11	2	7.5	181	1	2	2	
Peninsula	31	C+	79%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	40	0	7.5	184	2	3	1	
Clover Park	31	C+	68%	2	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	17	2	7.5	184	2	2	1	
Franklin Pierce	30	С	73%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	38	0	7.5	184	2	2	1	
Richland	29	С	72%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	12	2	7.5	181	1	3	1	
Tahoma	29	С	74%	1	Y?	0	N	1	3	0	39	0	7.0	181	1	7	2	
Kent	29	С	80%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	11	2	7.5	187	3	3	1	
Battle Ground	28	С	80%	1	N	1	N	1	5	0	27	1	7.5	183	2	3	1	
North Thurston	28	С	72%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	24	1	7.5	182	1	2	1	
Federal Way	28	С	82%	1	Y?	0	N	1	7	0	2	2	7.5	183	2	0	2	
Renton	28	С	64%	2	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	33	1	7.0	183	2	3	1	
Mead	27	С	81%	1	N	1	N	1	0	2	?	2	7.5	181	1	5	0	
South Kitsap	27	С	78%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	8	2	7.5	184	2	2	1	
Moses Lake	27	С	67%	2	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	5	2	7.5	183	2	2	1	
Kennewick	26	С	57%	2	Y	0	N	1	0	2	47?	0	7.5	189	3	3	1	
Longview	26	С	83%	1	N	1	N	1	3	0	?	2	7.5	181	1	3	1	
Bellevue	26	С	62%	2	Y	0	N	1	0	2	29	1	8.0	185	3	3	1	
Tacoma	26	С	82%	1	Υ	0	N	1	2	1	6	2	7.5	182	1	2	1	



	FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY										STUDENT-FOCUSED CALENDAR									
2013-14 Sc	hool \	Year	EXPECT	ATIONS	ESC	ALATING W	/AGE/BENI	EFITS	SHORT	YEAR	SHORT	DAYS	EMP	LOYEE W	ORK	SUBSTI	TUTES			
			Item #6	Score of possible 3	Item #7	Score of possible 2	Item #8	Score of possible 1	Item #9	Score of possible 2	Item #10	Score of possible 2		Item #11	Score of possible 3	Item #12	Score of possible 2			
District	41-48 A 33-40 B 24-32 C 16-23 D 1-15 F	Overall grade	What portion of any extra wage is unaccount- able?	0=90%+ 1=70%+ 2=50%+ 3=under 50%	Does the extra wage increase each year?	The extra wage: 0=escalates 1=stays same 2=requires increased services	Does the extra health benefit increase each year?	The extra health benefits: 0=escalates 1=stays same	Sought to shorten the student year by how many days?	0=3+ days 1=1or2 days 2=0 days	How many days are shortened by more than 1 hr? (est.)	Partial school days while enhancing wage: 0=36+ 1=18+ 2=17 or less	Hours per elementary teacher work day	How many days do teachers show up to work? (est.)	Teacher Workdays: 0=181, 1=182, 2=183 184, 3=185	How many days of personal leave?	0=4+ 1=2 to 3 2=0 to 1 or if from sick			
Pasco	25	C-	73%	1	Υ	1	N	1	0	2	42	0	7.5	184	2	3	1			
Bethel	25	C-	89%	1	Υ	0	N	1	2	1	13	2	7.5	181	1	1	0			
Evergreen (Clark)	25	C-	82%	1	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	48	0	7.5	184	3	4	0			
Bellingham	25	C-	86%	1	Υ	0	Y	0	0	2	42	0	7.5	185	3	2	1			
Central Kitsap	24	C-	70%	1	N	1	N	1	0	2	42	0	7.5	183	2	4	0			
Puyallup	24	C-	75%	1	Υ	0	N	1	2	1	13	2	7.5	186	3	4	0			
Highline	24	C-	85%	1	Υ	0	N	1	4	0	32	1	7.5	184	3	4	0			
Shoreline	24	C-	78%	1	Y?	0	N	1	5	0	?	2	8.0	188	3	3	1			
Lake Washington	23	D+	66%	2	N	1	N	1	0	2	45	0	7.0	188	3	3	1			
Issaquah	23	D+	79%	1	Υ	0	N	1	2	1	44	0	7.5	184	2	2	1			
Northshore	23	D+	77%	1	Υ	0	N	1	5	0	37	0	7.4	185	3	2	1			
Sumner	22	D+	89%	1	Υ	1	N	1	3	0	43	0	7.3	183	2	3	1			
Edmonds	22	D+	82%	1	Y?	0	Υ	0	5	0	9	2	7.5	187	3	4	0			
Seattle	22	D+	89%	1	Υ	0	N	1	3	0	5	2	7.0	183	2	2	1			
Auburn	17	D-	82%	1	Υ	0	Y	0	3	0	5	2	7.5	181	1	2	1			
Marysville	14	F	96%	0	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	39	0	7.0	182	1	2	1			
Lake Stevens	14	F	95%	0	Υ	0	N	1	0	2	36	0	7.5	182	1	3	1			
Monroe	12	F	98%	0	Υ	0	Y	0	4	0	3	2	7.5	181	1	4	0			
Snohomish	12	F	95%	0	N	1	N	1	4	0	37	0	7.5	183	2	2	1			
Mukilteo	12	F	96%	0	Υ	0	Y	0	2	1	21	1	7.8	183	2	2	1			
Everett	12	F	100%	0	Υ	0	?	1	0	2	39	0	7.5	185	3	3	1			



GRADING THE TEACHERS' UNION CONTRACTS

See the evaluation methodology at

		CLASS SI	ZE			CHOICE		1011114010201014							
2013-14 Sc	chool \	Year				W.	AGE EQUITY		AGE BO	ONUS	INEQUIT	Υ	BENEFITS	UNION	STRIKES
			Ite	em #13	Score of possible 4	Item	ı #14	Score of possible 2	Item #15	Score of possible 1	Item #16	Score of possible 1	Score of possible 1	Score of possible 2	Score of possible 2
District	41-48 A 33-40 B 24-32 C 16-23 D 1-15 F	Overall grade	Students per Teacher	Is pay for teacher a remedy for a large class size?	0=over \$1.8k per student 1=up to \$1.8k 2=district choice over \$1.8k 3=district choice under \$1.8k 4=no pay	Does the district adjust base salary to benefit senior employees?	Is the wage bonus more generous to senior employees?	0=Regress- ive 1=Neutral 2=Progress- ive	Longevity bonus for 25 year teacher	Longevity financially rewarded 0=yes 1=no	Highest Base Contract Lowest Formula salary Salary	Pay double for same work? 0=yes, 1=no	Item #17 Forced benefits 0=lots of mandatory; 1=only health & dental mandatory	Clause 0= forced fee,	Item #19 Are strikes allowed? -1 provided for 0=allowed 1=opposed 2=opposed with consequences
Vancouver	34	<u>B</u>	19.1	N	3	N	N	2	\$0	0	\$39,859 \$71,536	1	1	0	0
Wenatchee	32	C+	17.5	Υ	1	N	N	1	\$0	1	\$36,696 \$69,165	1	0	1	0
Central Valley	32	C+	17.6	Υ	3	?	N	1	\$850	0	\$36,507 \$69,659	1	0	0	1
Sunnyside	31	C+	18.1	Υ	2	N	N	1	\$357	0	\$36,318 \$68,809	1	0	0	1
Olympia	31	C+	18.5	Y	1	N	N	1	\$357	0	\$36,885 \$69,878	1	0	1	1
Spokane	31	C+	17.0	Y	3	N	N	1	\$2,412	0	\$37,656 \$73,387	1	0	1	0
Yakima	31	C+	19.0	Y	1	N	N	1	\$0	1	\$38,032 \$71,682	1	1	0	1
Peninsula	31	C+	19.0	N	4	N	N	1	\$1,050	0	\$38,588 \$73,780	1	0	1	1
Clover Park	31	C+	17.2	Υ	3	N	N	1	\$0	1	\$40,196 \$75,761	1	0	0	1
Franklin Pierce	30	С	17.7	Ν	4	N	N	2	\$1,450	0	\$40,319 \$75,857	1	0	0	2
Richland	29	С	20.3	Υ	1	N	N	1	\$1,000	0	\$37,367 \$71,430	1	0	0	1
Tahoma	29	С	20.2	Υ	3	N	N	1	\$0	1	\$39,293 \$73,763	1	0	1	1
Kent	29	С	18.4	N	4	N	N	1	\$3,000	0	\$41,912 \$80,826	1	0	1	1
Battle Ground	28	С	17.8	Υ	1	N	N	1	\$357	1	\$36,885 \$69,878	1	0	0	0
North Thurston	28	С	18.6	N	3	N	N	1	\$642	0	\$39,418 \$74,936	1	0	0	1
Federal Way	28	С	17.6	Y	3	Y	N	1	\$1,283	0	\$39,233 \$75,230	1	0	1	0
Renton	28	С	18.2	Y	3	N	N	1	\$642	0	\$40,817 \$77,574	1	0	0	1
Mead	27	С	19.5	N	4	N	N	1	\$825	0	\$37,074 \$70,703	1	0	0	-1
South Kitsap	27	С	19.6	Υ	0	N	N	1	\$0	1	\$37,831 \$71,304	1	0	0	-1
Moses Lake	27	С	19.5	Υ	0	N	N	1	\$963	0	\$38,800 \$74,061	1	0	1	0
Kennewick	26	С	19.9	Υ	1	N	N	1	\$0	0	\$38,209 \$72,017	1	0	0	0
Longview	26	С	18.1	Υ	1	N	N	1	\$0	1	\$37,657 \$70,976	1	0	0	0
Bellevue	26	С	18.2	N	4	Y	Y	0	\$0	1	\$44,939 \$83,254	1	0	1	1
Tacoma	26	С	17.5	N	4	Y	?	0	\$2,994	0	\$40,906 \$79,790	1	1	0	0



				CLASS SI	ZE			•	E FAIRN		com/sites/deradit/file	23/400411101	CHO	Ontract32010 Val	
2013-14 Sc	hool \	/oar				W	AGE EQUITY		AGE BO	ONUS	INEQUIT	Υ	BENEFITS	UNION	STRIKES
2013-14 30		Cai		"10	Score of			Score of		Score of		Score of	Score of	Score of	Score of
			Item #13		possible 4	Item	ı #14	possible 2	Item #15	possible 1	Item #16	possible 1	possible 1	possible 2	possible 2
District	41-48 A 33-40 B 24-32 C 16-23 D 1-15 F	Overall grade	Students per Teacher	Is pay for teacher a remedy for a large class size?	0=over \$1.8k per student 1=up to \$1.8k 2=district choice over \$1.8k 3=district choice under \$1.8k 4=no pay	Does the district adjust base salary to benefit senior employees?	Is the wage bonus more generous to senior employees?	0=Regress- ive 1=Neutral 2=Progress- ive	Longevity bonus for 25 year teacher	Longevity financially rewarded 0=yes 1=no	Highest Base Contract Lowest Formula salary Salary	Pay double for same work? 0=yes, 1=no	Item #17 Forced benefits 0=lots of mandatory; 1=only health & dental mandatory	Item #18 Union Security Clause 0= forced fee, 1=some forced 2= none forced	Item #19 Are strikes allowed? -1 provided for 0=allowed 1=opposed 2=opposed with consequences
Pasco	25	C-	18.2	Υ	2	N	N	1	\$3,209	0	\$37,547 \$73,978	1	0	0	1
Bethel	25	C-	19.9	Υ	3	N	N	1	\$2,362	0	\$39,344 \$76,519	1	1	0	1
Evergreen (Clark)	25	C-	18.1	Υ	1	N	N	2	\$0	1	\$40,731 \$75,443	1	0	0	1
Bellingham	25	C-	18.5	Υ	3	N	Υ	0	\$0	1	\$42,051 \$79,522	1	0	0	2
Central Kitsap	24	C-	17.5	Υ	1	Υ	N	0	\$648	0	\$37,975 \$69,136	1	1	0	0
Puyallup	24	<u>C-</u>	21.1	Υ	1	Υ	?	1	\$2,946	0	\$39,450 \$77,480	1	0	0	0
Highline	24	C-	18.2	Υ	0	Υ	N	1	\$0	1	\$39,836 \$75,084	1	0	0	1
Shoreline	24	<u>C-</u>	19.5	Υ	1	N	N	2	\$500	0	\$41,952 \$78,954	1	0	0	1
Lake Washington	23	D+	18.3	Υ	1	Υ	N	0	\$6,200	0	\$42,525 \$80,106	1	0	0	-1
Issaquah	23	D+	19.4	Υ	1	Υ	N	1	\$1,125	0	\$40,973 \$76,364	1	0	0	0
Northshore	23	D+	18.9	Υ	1	N/A	N	1	\$0	1	\$44,018 \$82,889	1	0	0	1
Sumner	22	D+	19.7	Υ	2	N	Υ	0	\$300	0	\$39,411 \$74,581	1	0	0	0
Edmonds	22	D+	18.8	Υ	1	N	?	1	\$1,000	0	\$40,746 \$78,245	1	0	0	1
Seattle	22	D+	17.0	Υ	1	N/A	Υ	0	\$0	1	\$43,520 \$82,699	1	1	0	1
Auburn	17	D-	18.7	Υ	1	N	Υ	0	\$3,750	0	\$40,890 \$82,847	0	0	1	1
Marysville	14	F	19.3	Υ	1	N/A	Υ	0	\$1,650	0	\$44,317 \$85,859	1	0	0	1
Lake Stevens	14	F	20.6	Υ	0	Υ	Υ	0	\$3,396	0	\$42,913 \$86,525	1	0	0	2
Monroe	12	F	21.8	Υ	1	N	Υ	0	\$0	1	\$43,848 \$84,407	1	0	0	0
Snohomish	12	F	21.4	Υ	1	Y	Υ	0	\$0	0	\$44,170 \$79,511	1	0	0	-1
Mukilteo	12	F	19.2	Υ	1	N/A	Υ	0	\$0	1	\$45,558 \$78,619	1	0	0	1
Everett	12	F	20.0	Υ	0	N/A	Υ	0	\$1,500	0	\$44,516 \$91,378	0	1	0	1